

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

CITY OF PORTSMOUTH v. PORTSMOUTH & NORFOLK CORP.

Jan. 24, 1918. Rehearing Denied March 21, 1918.

[95 S. E. 278.]

1. Municipal Corporations (§ 333*)—Grant of Franchise—Requirement of Check—Forfeiture.—The requirement of a city ordinance, authorizing the granting of a franchise for lighting the streets, that all bidders should accompany their bids with a certified check, payable to the city treasurer, in the sum of \$5,000, to be forfeited to the city as liquidated damages for failure to comply with the bid and execute the contract, if awarded, was not violative of Const. § 125 (Code 1904, p. ccxli), providing that before granting any franchise or privilege for years, except for a bridge or railroad, the municipality shall first, after due advertisement, receive bids, etc., or Code 1904, § 1033f, providing that the person or corporation to whom the franchise is awarded, by competing bids or otherwise, shall first execute bond, etc.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 10 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 208.]

2. Municipal Corporations (§ 337*)—Forfeitures—Bidder for Street Lighting Franchise.—The rule that courts incline against forfeitures has no application to such a case.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 10 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 209.]

3. Municipal Corporations (§ 362 (2)*)—Extension of Time to Bidder for Municipal Lighting—Illegality of Ordinance—"Amendment of Franchise."—A city ordinance, extending additional time to a bidder for a street lighting franchise for supplying municipal lighting, was not an "amendment" of the original franchise, therefore illegal, for noncompliance with Code 1904, § 1033f, par. 5, being simply an extension of time on the contract within which to furnish municipal lighting; the city being within its rights in granting the indulgence.

[Ed. Note.—For other definitions, see Words and Phrases, First and Second Series, Amendment. For other cases, see 10 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 209.]

Error to Circuit Court of City of Portsmouth.

Action in assumpsit by the Portsmouth & Norfolk Corporation against the City of Portsmouth. To review a judgment for plaintiff, defendant brings error. Reversed, and judgment entered for defendant.

John W. Happer, of Portsmouth, for plaintiff in error.

R. R. Hicks, of Norfolk, for defendant in error.

^{*}For other cases see same topic and KEY-NUMBER in all Key-Numbered Digests and Indexes.